

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 JOSEPH WALTER KAISER, et al.,

10 Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-91-0300 GGH P

11 vs.

12 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

13 Defendants. ORDER

14 _____ /
15 The undersigned is in receipt of a letter from Attorney Paul Comiskey (dated
16 October 1, 2009) in which he indicates that he, Lead Counsel Stewart Katz and Opposing
17 Counsel Jim Wood are uncertain as to how this matter is to proceed, averring that all issues
18 appear to be resolved except the question of attorneys' fees. In the first place, the court can
19 discern no reason why counsel have not conformed to custom and filed a stipulation and
20 proposed order, addressing and resolving the substantive issues. In the second place, there is no
21 motion for attorneys' fees pending in the docket of this case.

22 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that counsel submit a stipulation and proposed
23 order resolving this matter within fourteen (14) days.

24 DATED: October 13, 2009

25 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

26 _____
GGH:009
kais0300.ord
GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE